| . · Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|-------------------|
| | 10/676,882 | OSBORNE, RANDY B. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Paul W. Schlie | 2186 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>pending</u> | |
| (1) Paul W. Schlie (USPTO). | (3) William W. Schaal (applicant's attorney). | |
| (2) | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 24 August 2006 | Time: ~2:00pm | |
| Type of Interview: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: Obviousness Double Patenting in view of Patent 6,181,619 | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: (as above) | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant agreed with assessments, and agreed to cancel claim 3 and file a terminal disclaimer to overcome proposed rejections. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |